1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
6	AT SEATTLE	
7	TERRANCE JON IRBY,	CASE NO. C16-5052-RBL-JRC
8	Plaintiff,	ORDER ON REVIEW OF REFUSAL TO RECUSE
9	V.	
10	STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,	
11	Defendants.	
12	Plaintiff has filed a Motion for Recusal. Dkt. #84. In his Motion, Plaintiff alleges that	
13	U.S. Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura is biased against him apparently because Judge	
14	Creatura issued an Order directing Plaintiff to use the mandatory e-filing system to file	
15	documents with the Court rather than filing them by mail. See Dkts. #79 and #84. Although not	
16	entirely clear, Plaintiff to argue that he was unaware of the mandatory e-filing procedure. See	
17	Dkt. #84 at 1, and that Judge Creatura has demonstrated bias by failing to accept documents that	
18	he attempted to file by mail. Judge Creatura has declined to recuse himself and the matter has	
19	been referred to this Court in accordance with our Local Rules. LCR 3(e). Dkt. #94.	
20	This Court concurs with Judge Creatura that Plaintiff has failed to produce any objective	
21	evidence of his alleged bias or that he has any reason to be partial to any party in this matter.	
22		
23 24	Judge Creatura notes that Plaintiff has been warned about his failure to use the e-filing procedure on at least six prior occasions. Dkt. #79 at 1.	

Dkt. #94 at 3. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 455(a), a judge of the United States shall disqualify himself in any proceeding in which his impartiality "might reasonably be questioned." Federal 2 3 judges also shall disqualify themselves in circumstances where they have a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the 5 proceeding. 28 U.S.C. § 455(b)(1). 6 Under both 28 U.S.C. § 144 and 28 U.S.C. § 455, recusal of a federal judge is appropriate if "a reasonable person with knowledge of all the facts would conclude that the judge's 7 8 impartiality might reasonably be questioned." Yagman v. Republic Insurance, 987 F.2d 622, 626 (9th Cir.1993). This is an objective inquiry concerned with whether there is the appearance of bias, not whether there is bias in fact. Preston v. United States, 923 F.2d 731, 734 (9th 10 11 Cir.1992); United States v. Conforte, 624 F.2d 869, 881 (9th Cir.1980). But there must be a 12 reasonable basis upon which to question a judge's ability to be impartial. Plaintiff has provided 13 no evidence of bias or prejudice on the part of Judge Creatura, and therefore the judge is not 14 required to recuse himself. Likewise, this Court finds no evidence upon which to reasonably question Judge Creatura's impartiality and therefore AFFIRMS his denial of Plaintiff's request 15 that he recuse himself. 16 17 The Clerk SHALL provide copies of this order to all counsel of record and to Plaintiff. Dated this 30th day of March, 2017. 18 19 20 21 IIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 22 23

24